

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/634,819	08/08/2000	Anand G. Dabak	TI-30652	4258
75	90 09/14/2004		EXAMINER	
Rolald O Neerings			BOCURE, TESFALDET	
Texas Instruments Incorporated P O Box 655474 M S 3999			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Dallas, TX 75			2631	
			DATE MAILED: 09/14/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			An
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/634,819	DABAK ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tesfaldet Bocure	2631	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	ne correspondence addres	SS
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply to the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS a, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed  ) days will be considered timely.  from the mailing date of this commu	inication.
Status .			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 J	uly 2004.		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters,	prosecution as to the me	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-7,14 and 16-19</u> is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>1,2,8,9,13,15 and 21-26</u> is/are rejected	ed.		
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-12 and 20</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) objected to by the	ne Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc	,	·	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Of	fice Action or form PTO-1	52.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document	s have been received. Is have been received in Appli rity documents have been rec	cation No	ge
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	,	eived.	
Attachment(s)			
1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>07/19/04</u> .	~	nal Patent Application (PTO-152	2)

Art Unit: 2631

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Information Disclosure Statement

1. The Information Disclosure Statement has been received and the initialed copy (one copy) of the 1449 is attached with this correspondence.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 1,2,8,9,13,15, 21-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Marcoccia et al.** (US patent number 6,169,761 of a record) in view of **Kostic et al.** (US patent number 6,549,784, newly cited)

Art Unit: 2631

Marcoccia et al. (Marcoccia hereinafter) teaches a frequency hopping communication system comprising master, claimed first device in claim 1, frequency selector in claim 15 and wireless communication device in claim 23, and slave station (see col. 2, lines 20-25) comprising: the master station for detecting the received signal strength of the received signal on a given channel (232 in figure 4B) and if the detected signal is below the threshold, select (see feedback to 222 from 232) the next channel (claimed further selection in claims 2 and 8), otherwise use the selected channel for transmitting data between the master and base station (steps 234-240) as in claims 1,13,15,23. Further to claims 1,15 and 23, Marcoccia also teaches that the master station use a handshake protocol (claimed transmitting communication link information) with the slave station to verify the selection of the frequency. See col. 3. With respect to claims 1,15 and 23, Marcoccia also teaches that the signal strength of the received channel corresponding to the once transmitted (claimed previously used frequency) by the slave unit is measured in order to make a decision as to what channel the slave unit should communicate next. However, he fails to teach that the quality measurement is based on the frequencies (plural frequencies rather than a single frequency) that have been previously used by the slave unit.

**Kostic et al.** for the same endeavor as the instant application and the of teaches a signal strength measurement of the plurality of frequencies received at the base station in order to make a decision as the what frequency pattern within the wide band can be used by the base station to communicate with mobile station.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/634,819

Art Unit: 2631

See Abstract of the disclosure and col. 2, starting line 59 through col. 4, line 17)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of an ordinary skill in the art to use the measurement of pluralities of frequencies of **Kostic** et al. in the system of **Marcoccia** in order to dynamically allocate the best frequency hopping with minimum interference at the time the invention was made.

What **Marcoccia** fails to teach is the master and slave frequency hopping system using Bluetooth as in claims 9,21 and 25, and cordless device as in claims 22 and 26. However, such a Bluetooth and cordless wireless is notoriously known in the communication are and Examiner is taking an official notice. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of an ordinary skill in the art to apply in the system of Marcoccia the Bluetooth and cordless at the time the invention was made.

It should be noted that Kostic also incorporate by reference to US patent number 5,323,447 issued to Gillis et al (see vol. 2, last paragraph) which uses a frequency hopping technique in a cordless telephone.

## Response to Amendment

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1,15 and 23 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

# Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 3-7,14 and 16-19 are allowed.

Art Unit: 2631

7. Claims 10-12 and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Page 5

## Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tesfaldet Bocure whose telephone number is (703) 305-4735. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur (7:30a-5:00p) & Mon.-Fri (7:30a-5:00p).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mohammad H Ghayour can be reached on (703) 306-3034. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tesfaldet Bocure Primary Examiner

Art Unit: 2631

Art Unit 2631

T.Bocure